IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

United States of America, : CRIMINAL ACTION

> NO. 09-733-2:

v.

David Cobb.

ORDER

AND NOW, this 13th day of April, 2021, after considering Defendant's motion for compassionate release (ECF No. 325), it is hereby **ORDERED** that said motion is **DENIED** without prejudice.1

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

Eduardo C. Robreno EDUARDO C. ROBRENO, J.

Defendant has not demonstrated compliance with the compassionate release exhaustion requirement. A defendant may only move for compassionate release "after the defendant has fully exhausted all administrative rights to appeal a failure of the Bureau of Prisons to bring a motion on the defendant's behalf or the lapse of 30 days from the receipt of such a request by the warden of the defendant's facility." 18 U.S.C. \$ 3582(c)(1)(A). And where a defendant "failed to comply with \$ 3582(c)(1)(A)'s exhaustion requirement . . . it presents a glaring roadblock foreclosing compassionate release." United States v. Raia, 954 F.3d 594, 597 (3d Cir. 2020).